PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D 27 AUG 2004

WIPO PCT

Applicant's or agent's file reference P17157-KDK	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification Preliminary Exa	of Transmittal of International mination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
International application No. PCT/EP 03/05851	International filing date (day/mor 04.06.2003	nth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 04.06.2002			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or H04Q7/24	both national classification and IPC					
Applicant TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM E	RICSSON (PUBL) et al.					
This international preliminary ex Authority and is transmitted to the	amination report has been prepare applicant according to Article	ared by this Inter 36.	national Preliminary Examining			
2. This REPORT consists of a tota	of 5 sheets, including this cover	er sheet.				
hoon amended and are th	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).					
These annexes consist of a total	These annexes consist of a total of 6 sheets.					
3. This report contains indications	relating to the following items:					
Ⅰ ☑ Basis of the opinion	I ⊠ Basis of the opinion					
II □ Priority						
III Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to novelty	inventive step a	and industrial applicability			
IV Lack of unity of inve	ention					
V 🛮 Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
VI Certain documents cited						
	ne international application					
VIII Certain observation	s on the international application	1				
Date of submission of the demand	Date	of completion of the	nis report			
19.12.2003		08.2004				
Name and mailing address of the internal preliminary examining authority:		orized Officer	gardina Petrana.			
European Patent Office - F	rs Bas Sch	ut, G	r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016		phone No. +31 70	340-4095			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/05851

1.	Basis	of the	report

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Desc	cription, Pages				
	2-12		as originally filed			
	1		received on 09.06.2004 with letter of 07.06.2004			
	Clai	ms, Numbers				
	1-24		received on 09.06.2004 with letter of 07.06.2004			
	Drav	wings, Sheets				
	1/5-5	5/5	as originally filed			
2.	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	The	se elements were ava	ilable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:			
		the language of a trar	nslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).			
		the language of public	cation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).			
		the language of a trar Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under			
3.	With inte	n regard to any nucleo rnational preliminary e	otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:			
		contained in the inter	national application in written form.			
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.			
		furnished subsequen	tly to this Authority in written form.			
	☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.					
		The statement that the listing has been furni	ne information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence shed.			
4	. The	e amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:			
		the description,	pages:			
		the claims,	Nos.:			
		the drawings,	sheets:			
			•			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/05851

5. 🗆	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have
-	been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
—eitations-and explanations-supporting-such-statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims
No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims
1-24
No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims
1-24
No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: WO 01/15475 A (SIVAGNANASUNDARAM SUTHA ;ROBERTS MICHAEL (GB); PALAT SUDEEP KUMAR) 1 March 2001 (2001-03-01)
- D2: "Digital cellular telecommunications system (Phase 2+) (GSM);Universal

 Mebile Telecommunications-System (UMTS); Network architecture (3GPP—
 TS 23.002 version 4.3.0 Release 4)" ETSI TS 123 002 V4.3.0, XX, XX, June 2001 (2001-06), pages 1-42, XP002200605
- D3: EP-A-1 182 900 (LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES INC) 27 February 2002 (2002-02-27)
- D4: WO 01/13657 A (ERICSSON TELEFON AB L M) 22 February 2001 (2001-02-22)

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and shows (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

- "A method for operating a switching node (226) of a communication network, comprising the steps of
- receiving communication request,
- processing the communication request (page 7, lines 7-26)"

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known method in that the method comprises the further step of:

"determining an operation mode of the switching mode, wherein the determined operation mode indicates whether the switching node is operatively for the processing of the requested communication service part of a layered architectural environment providing a user plane layer for user data and a control plane layer for signalling data, or part of a non-layered architectural environment not providing a split between a user plane and a control plane, and wherein the processing of the requested communications service comprises the operating of the switching node in the determined operation mode."

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as to provide a

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP 03/05851 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

switching node for both layered and non-layered architectural environments.

The solution to this problem proposed in **claim 1** of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons: D1 discloses a 3G switching node connected to both a 2G and 3G radio access network, but remains silent on whether the switching node can operate in both layered and non-layered architectural environments.

D2 and D4 disclose layered architectural environments providing a user plane layer for user-data-and-a-control-plane-layer-for-signalling-data, but-are-silent-about switching between layered and non-layered operating modes.

D3 discloses a switching node with separate bearer and control paths, but is also silent about switching between layered and non-layered operating modes.

Thus even the combination of any of the cited documents would not lead to the claimed subject-matter.

Consequently, claim 1 meets the requirements of Article 33(2) and (3) PCT.

Claims 2-18 and 23-24 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

Claim 19 defines substantially the same features as claim 1 in terms of features of a node. Thus the same reasoning also applies to claim 19. Therefore claim 19 also meets the requirements of Article 33(2) and (3) PCT.

Claims 20-21 are dependent on claim 19 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

Claim 22 defines substantially the same features as claim 1 in terms of features of a system. Thus the same reasoning also applies to claim 22. Therefore claim 22 also meets the requirements of Article 33(2) and (3) PCT.